

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. ·
10/660,449	09/11/2003	Warren E. Cory	X-1214 US	4907
24309 XILINX, INC	7590 03/26/2007		EXAMINER	
ATTN: LEGAL DEPARTMENT			PANWALKAR, VINEETA S	
2100 LOGIC D SAN JOSE, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/660,449	CORY ET AL.			
Examiner-initated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Vineeta S. Panwalkar	2611			
All Participants:	Status of Application:				
(1) <u>Vineeta S. Panwalkar</u> .	(3)				
(2) Justin Liu (Reg No. 51959).	(4)				
Date of Interview: 21 March 2007	Time: <u>3 PM (EST)</u>	• .			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:					
1-43					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.	•				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's representative, Mr. Justin Liu, elected claims 1-10 and 31-43 (belonging to class 710/52) in a restriction requirement and agreed to withdraw claims 11-30 (belonging to class 375/372).					
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
JAY K. PATEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	_				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)					
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)			